

# A symmetry result on Reinhardt domains

Vittorio Martino

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Bologna  
Piazza di Porta S.Donato 5, 40127 Bologna, Italy.  
E-mail address: `martino@dm.unibo.it`

**Abstract.** We show the following symmetry property of a bounded Reinhardt domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ : let  $M = \partial\Omega$  be the smooth boundary of  $\Omega$  and let  $h$  be the Second Fundamental Form of  $M$ ; if the coefficient  $h(T, T)$  related to the characteristic direction  $T$  is constant then  $M$  is a sphere. In Appendix we state the result from an hamiltonian point of view.

## 1 Introduction

A Reinhardt domain  $\Omega$  (with center at the origin) is by definition an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  such that

$$\text{if } (z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}) \in \Omega \text{ then } (e^{i\theta_1} z_1, \dots, e^{i\theta_{n+1}} z_{n+1}) \in \Omega \quad (1)$$

for all the real numbers  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n+1}$ . These domains naturally arise in the theory of several complex variables as the logarithmically convex Reinhardt domains are the domains of convergence of power series (see for instance [4], [7]). We will suppose from now on that the Reinhardt domain  $\Omega$  has a smooth boundary ( $C^2$  would be enough). The boundary  $M := \partial\Omega$  is then a smooth real hypersurface in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  and thus a CR-manifold of CR-codimension equal to one, with the standard CR structure induced by the holomorphic structure of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . Thus for every  $p \in M$  the tangent space  $T_p M$  splits in two subspaces: the  $2n$ -dimensional horizontal subspace  $H_p M$ , the largest subspace in  $T_p M$  invariant under the action of the standard complex structure  $J$  of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  and the vertical one-dimensional subspace generated by the characteristic direction  $T_p := J \cdot N_p$ , where  $N_p$  is the unit normal

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53A05; Secondary 32V15

at  $p$ . Moreover, if  $\tilde{g}$  is the standard metric on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ , then it holds

$$T_p M = H_p M \oplus \mathbb{R}T_p$$

and the sum is  $\tilde{g}$ -orthogonal.

Let us consider the complexified horizontal space

$$H^{\mathbb{C}}M := \{Z = X - iJ \cdot X : X \in HM\}$$

The Levi Form  $l$  is then the sesquilinear and hermitian operator on  $H^{\mathbb{C}}M$  defined in the following way:  $\forall Z_1, Z_2 \in H^{\mathbb{C}}M$

$$l(Z_1, Z_2) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{\nabla}_{Z_1} \bar{Z}_2, N) \quad (2)$$

where  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is the Levi-Civita connection for  $\tilde{g}$ . Moreover by a direct computation it holds

$$l(Z, Z) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{\nabla}_Z \bar{Z}, N) = \tilde{g}([X, Y], T) \quad (3)$$

where  $Y = J \cdot X$ . We will say  $M$  be (strictly) pseudoconvex if  $l$  is (strictly) positive definite as quadratic form.

In analogy with classical curvatures defined in terms of elementary symmetric functions of the eigenvalues of the Second Fundamental Form, one defines the  $j$ -th Levi curvatures  $L^j$  in terms of elementary symmetric functions of the eigenvalues of the Levi Form

$$L^j = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{j}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq n} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_j},$$

where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the eigenvalues of  $l$ . In particular when  $j = n$  we have the Total-Levi Curvature and when  $j = 1$  we have the Levi-Mean Curvature  $L$ .

Being hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  real hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ , one can also compare the Levi Form with the Second Fundamental Form  $h$  of  $M$  by using the identity [3]

$$l(Z, Z) = h(X, X) + h(J(X), J(X)), \quad \forall X \in HM$$

Thus, a direct calculation leads to the relation between the classical Mean Curvature  $H$  and the Levi-Mean Curvature  $L$  [12]:

$$H = \frac{1}{2n+1} (2nL + h(T, T)) \quad (4)$$

where  $h(T, T) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{\nabla}_T T, N)$  is the coefficient of the Second Fundamental Form related to the characteristic direction  $T$ .

**Definition 1.1.** *We will call  $h(T, T)$  the characteristic curvature of  $M$ .*

By (4) the characteristic curvature is a sort of complementary of the Levi-Mean Curvature in computing the Mean Curvature. Moreover, for every hypersurface in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ ,  $h(T, T)$  is invariant under a biholomorphic (rigid) transformation, as the Levi curvatures are.

Following the pioneering result due to Alexandrov [1] on the classical Mean Curvature of Euclidean surface, the problem of characterizing compact hypersurfaces with positive constant Levi-Mean Curvature has recently received a great amount of attention. Klingenberg in [8] gave a first positive answer to this problem by showing that if the characteristic direction is a geodesic and the Levi Form is diagonal, then  $M$  is a sphere. Monti and Morbidelli in [13] proved a Darboux-type theorem for  $n \geq 2$ : the unique Levi umbilical hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  with all constant Levi curvatures are spheres or cylinders. Later on Montanari and the author proved two results of this type: in [11] they relaxed Klingenberg conditions and they proved that if the characteristic direction is a geodesic, then Alexandrov Theorem holds for hypersurfaces with positive constant Levi-Mean Curvature; in [10] they proved some integral formulas for compact hypersurfaces, of independent interest, and then they follow the Reilly approach [14], [15], [16] to prove Isoperimetric estimates and a Alexandrov type theorem, namely: let  $M$  be a closed smooth real hypersurface bounding a star-shaped domain in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ , if the  $j$ -Levi curvature is a positive constant  $K$  and the maximum of the Mean Curvature of  $M$  is bounded from above by  $K$  then  $M$  is a sphere. In a couple of recent papers Hounie and Lanconelli proved Alexandrov type theorems for Reinhardt domains in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  first and for Reinhardt domain in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , with an additional rotational symmetry then. In [5] they showed the result for bounded Reinhardt domain of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , i.e. for domains  $\Omega$  such that if  $(z_1, z_2) \in \Omega$  then  $(e^{i\theta_1} z_1, e^{i\theta_2} z_2) \in \Omega$  for all real  $\theta_1, \theta_2$ . Under this hypothesis, in a neighborhood of a point, there is a defining function  $F$  only depending on the radii  $r_1 = |z_1|$ ,  $r_2 = |z_2|$ ,  $F(r_1, r_2) = f(r_2^2) - r_1^2$  with  $f$  the solution of the ODE

$$s f f'' = s f'^2 - k(f + s f'^2)^{3/2} - f f' \quad (5)$$

Alexandrov Theorem follows from uniqueness of the solution of (5). Their technique has then been used in [6] to prove an Alexandrov Theorem for bounded Reinhardt domains in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  with an additional rotational symmetry in two complementary sets of variables, for every  $n$ .

Here we prove a similar result of symmetry for Reinhardt domains in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  starting from the characteristic curvature rather than the Levi ones.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $M := \partial\Omega$  be the smooth boundary of a bounded Reinhardt domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . If the characteristic curvature  $h(T, T)$  is constant then  $M$  is a sphere of radius equal to  $1/h(T, T)$ .*

Let  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$ , with  $Y_k = J \cdot X_k$ , be an orthonormal basis of the horizontal space  $HM$ ; keeping in mind the structure of the Second Fundamental Form

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} h(X_k, X_k) & h(X_k, Y_j) & h(X_k, T) \\ h(Y_j, X_k) & h(Y_j, Y_j) & h(Y_j, T) \\ h(T, X_k) & h(T, Y_k) & h(T, T) \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $k$  and  $j$  running in  $1, \dots, n$ , we are making assumption only on the one-dimensional characteristic subspace of the tangent space rather than on the  $2n$ -dimensional horizontal one  $HM$ : moreover when in addition one assumes that one of the Levi curvatures is non zero (as in the Alexandrov type results) then  $HM$  spans the whole tangent space; in fact the vector fields  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$  satisfy the Hörmander rank condition.

When there exists a defining function  $f : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : f(z) < 0\}, \quad M = \partial\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : f(z) = 0\}$$

such that  $f(z) = g(r)$  depends only on the radii  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_{n+1})$ , where

$$r_k = z_k \bar{z}_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, n+1$$

then we can find an explicit formula to compute the characteristic curvature  $h(T, T)$ . In fact by using the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} f_k &= \bar{z}_k g_k, & f_{\bar{k}} &= z_k g_k, & f_{jk} &= \delta_{jk} g_k + z_j \bar{z}_k g_{jk} \\ |\partial f|^2 &= \sum_k r_k g_k^2 \end{aligned}$$

the unit normal  $N$  is

$$N = -\frac{1}{|\partial f|} \sum_k (z_k g_k \partial_{z_k} + \bar{z}_k g_k \partial_{\bar{z}_k})$$

and the characteristic direction  $T$  reads as

$$T = J \cdot N = -\frac{i}{|\partial f|} \sum_k (z_k g_k \partial_{z_k} - \bar{z}_k g_k \partial_{\bar{z}_k})$$

Then by a direct computation we have that

$$h(T, T) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{\nabla}_T T, N) = \sum_k^{n+1} \frac{r_k g_k^3}{|\partial f|^3} \quad (6)$$

**Example 1.3** (characteristic curvature of the sphere). *Let*

$$g(r_1, \dots, r_{n+1}) = r_1 + \dots + r_{n+1} - R^2$$

*be the defining function of the sphere of radius equal to  $R$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . By the formula (6) we have that the characteristic curvature of the sphere is*

$$h(T, T) = \frac{1}{R}$$

**Example 1.4** (characteristic curvature of ellipsoidal type domains). *Let*

$$g(r_1, \dots, r_{n+1}) = \frac{r_1}{a_1^2} + \dots + \frac{r_{n+1}}{a_{n+1}^2} - 1$$

*be the defining function of an ellipsoid in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  with  $(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1})$  positive constants. By the formula (6) we have that at a point  $p = (r_1, \dots, r_{n+1}) \in M$  its characteristic curvature is*

$$h_p(T, T) = \frac{\sum_k^{n+1} \frac{r_k}{a_k^6}}{\left(\sum_k^{n+1} \frac{r_k}{a_k^4}\right)^{3/2}}$$

In the next section we will prove the Theorem 1.2, then in the Appendix we will show an Hamiltonian point of view of the result.

**Acknowledgement** The paper was completed during the year spent at the Mathematics Department of Rutgers University: the author wishes to express his gratitude for the hospitality and he is grateful to the Nonlinear Analysis Center for its support.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let us identify  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  so that  $z = (x, y)$ . First we prove a property of independent interest.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a Reinhardt domain in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  and let*

$$p = (z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}) = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n+1})$$

the “position vector” of a point on  $M := \partial\Omega$ . If  $T_p$  is the characteristic direction at  $p \in M$  then it holds identically

$$\tilde{g}(p, T_p) \equiv 0 \quad (7)$$

*Proof.* If  $M$  is any smooth hypersurface bounding a domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  with defining function  $f : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : f(z) < 0\}, \quad M = \partial\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : f(z) = 0\}$$

then the unit normal  $N$  is:

$$N = -\frac{1}{|\partial f|} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (f_{\bar{k}} \partial_{z_k} + f_k \partial_{\bar{z}_k})$$

where  $f_k = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}$ , with  $k = 1, \dots, n+1$ . Thus the characteristic direction  $T$  is:

$$T = J \cdot N = -\frac{i}{|\partial f|} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (f_{\bar{k}} \partial_{z_k} - f_k \partial_{\bar{z}_k})$$

By identifying  $f(z) = f(x, y)$ , from the real point of view we have:

$$N = -\frac{1}{|\nabla f|} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (f_{x_k} \partial_{x_k} + f_{y_k} \partial_{y_k})$$

$$T = \frac{1}{|\nabla f|} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (f_{y_k} \partial_{x_k} - f_{x_k} \partial_{y_k})$$

Now, if  $\Omega$  is a Reinhardt domain (with center at the origin) in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  then we can find (at least locally) a defining function  $f(z) = g(r)$  depending only on the radii  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_{n+1})$  where

$$r_k = z_k \bar{z}_k = x_k^2 + y_k^2, \quad k = 1, \dots, n+1$$

So if  $g_k = \frac{\partial g}{\partial r_k}$  we obtain

$$f_{x_k} = 2x_k g_k, \quad f_{y_k} = 2y_k g_k$$

with  $k = 1, \dots, n+1$ . In vectorial notation then we have

$$T = \frac{1}{|\nabla f|} (f_{y_1}, \dots, f_{y_{n+1}}, -f_{x_1}, \dots, -f_{x_{n+1}}) =$$

$$= \frac{2}{|\nabla f|} (y_1 g_1, \dots, y_{n+1} g_{n+1}, -x_1 g_1, \dots, -x_{n+1} g_{n+1})$$

and thus it holds identically

$$\tilde{g}(p, T_p) = \frac{2}{|\nabla f(p)|} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (x_k y_k g_k(p) - y_k x_k g_k(p)) \equiv 0$$

for every  $p \in M$  □

In other words, the position vector  $p$  has generally a normal component and a tangential component; in turn, the tangential component has an horizontal component and a characteristic component: for Reinhardt domains the characteristic component of the position vector  $p$  identically vanishes.

Now we can prove the main result.

*Proof.* (of Theorem 1.2) Let us consider the function:

$$\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \varphi(p) = \frac{|p|^2}{2} = \frac{\tilde{g}(p, p)}{2}$$

that represents one half the squared distance of the manifold from the origin. If  $V \in TM$  is a tangent vector field to  $M$  then the derivative of  $\varphi$  along  $V$  is

$$V(\varphi(p)) = \frac{1}{2} V(\tilde{g}(p, p)) = \tilde{g}(p, V_p)$$

and by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$T(\varphi) = \tilde{g}(p, T) \equiv 0$$

Thus, if  $\hat{p}$  is a critical value of  $\varphi$ , then

$$X_k(\varphi)|_{\hat{p}} = Y_k(\varphi)|_{\hat{p}} = 0$$

Moreover,  $\varphi$  evaluated at a critical value is

$$\varphi(\hat{p}) = \frac{|\hat{p}|^2}{2} \tag{8}$$

and the position vector of any critical value  $\hat{p}$  is parallel to the (inner) unit normal direction  $N$  at  $\hat{p}$

$$\hat{p} = \tilde{g}(\hat{p}, N_{\hat{p}}) N_{\hat{p}} = -|\hat{p}| N_{\hat{p}}$$

Differentiating again  $\varphi$  along the characteristic direction  $T$  we obtain

$$0 \equiv T^2(\varphi) = T(\tilde{g}(p, T)) = \tilde{g}(T, T) + \tilde{g}(p, \tilde{\nabla}_T T) = 1 + \tilde{g}(p, \tilde{\nabla}_T T)$$

and if  $\hat{p}$  is a critical value for  $\varphi$  then we get

$$1 - |\hat{p}|\tilde{g}(N_{\hat{p}}, \tilde{\nabla}_T T) = 1 - |\hat{p}|h_{\hat{p}}(T, T) = 0 \quad (9)$$

where  $h_{\hat{p}}(T, T)$  is the characteristic curvature of  $M$  at  $\hat{p}$ .

Since  $M$  is a smooth compact hypersurface, then  $\varphi$  admits maximum and minimum which are critical values for  $\varphi$ . If  $h(T, T)$  is constant then by (9) we have

$$|\hat{p}| = \frac{1}{h_{\hat{p}}(T, T)} = \frac{1}{h(T, T)} = \text{const.}$$

Then by (8)  $\varphi$  is constant on  $M$  and it holds

$$(2\varphi(p))^{1/2} = |p| = \frac{1}{h(T, T)} = \text{const.}$$

for every  $p \in M$ , and it means that  $M$  is a sphere of radius  $\frac{1}{h(T, T)}$   $\square$

The boundedness hypothesis is crucial as the next example shows.

**Example 2.2** (characteristic curvature of a cylinder type domain). *Let*

$$g(r_1, r_2) = r_1 - R^2$$

*be the defining function of a cylinder type domain in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . By the formula (6) we have that the its characteristic curvature is constant:*

$$h(T, T) = \frac{1}{R}$$

### 3 Appendix

Here we want to look at the Reinhardt domains from an hamiltonian point of view. First we recall that for every hypersurface  $M$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ , with  $f$  as defining function, the characteristic direction of  $M$  is exactly the (normalized) hamiltonian vector field for the hamiltonian function  $f$ . In fact let us consider a dynamic system with hamiltonian function (smooth enough) depending on position and momentum variables

$$H : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad z = (q, p) \mapsto H(q, p)$$



and define the Action functional

$$A(z) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left( \langle p, \dot{q} \rangle - H(q, p) \right) dt, \quad z : [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$$

The first variation of  $A$  on a suitable space of curves leads to the following system of differential equations (Hamilton)

$$\begin{cases} \dot{q}_k = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_k}(q, p) \\ \dot{p}_k = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_k}(q, p) \end{cases} \quad k = 1, \dots, n+1 \quad (10)$$

Now, a Least Action Principle states that trajectories of motion (in the generalized phase space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ) are solutions of (10). The isoenergetic surface of  $H$  of energy  $E$  is the following hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ :  $M = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} : H(z) = E\}$ . The conservation of energy principle ensures that if  $z$  is a critical point for  $A$ , then  $z(t) \in M, \forall t \in [t_0, t_1]$ . The hamiltonian vector field for  $H$  is the tangent vector field to  $M$

$$X_z^H := \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}(q, p), -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q}(q, p) \right) = J \cdot \nabla H(q, p)$$

where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{n+1} \\ -I_{n+1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the canonical symplectic matrix in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$  and in our case it coincides with the standard complex structure in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ .

The Hamilton system (10) rewrites as

$$\dot{z} = X_z^H$$

Now, if one identifies

$$\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \approx \mathbb{R}^{2n+2}, \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}), \quad z_k = x + iy \simeq (x_k, y_k)$$

then the hypersurface  $M$  defined by

$$M = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : f(z) = 0\}, \quad f : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is exactly the isoenergetic surfaces of  $H = f + E$ . Thus the hamiltonian vector field on  $M$  is

$$X_z^H = J \cdot \nabla H(z) = J \cdot \nabla f(z) = J \cdot N = T$$

where  $N = \nabla f$  is the normal direction to  $M$  and  $T$  is the (not normalized) characteristic direction. Moreover the integral curves of  $X^H$  (the orbits in the phase space) coincide with that ones of  $T$ , eventually reparametrized. In this situation the characteristic curvature  $h(T, T)$  is the normal curvature of the hamiltonian trajectories on the isoenergetic surface in the generalized phase space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .

Now, we recall that if  $\Omega$  is a Reinhardt domain (with center at the origin) in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  then we can find (at least locally) a defining function  $f(z) = g(r)$  depending only on the radii  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_{n+1})$  where

$$r_k = z_k \bar{z}_k = x_k^2 + y_k^2, \quad k = 1, \dots, n+1$$

This means that the hamiltonian function depends only on the quantities  $r_k = q_k^2 + p_k^2$  that represent the actions in the pair of variables action-angle. Thus the angle variables are cyclic and then the actions  $r_k$  (and all the functions depending on them) are conserved quantities along the trajectories of motion. In fact we have that the characteristic direction  $T$  is:

$$T = -\frac{i}{|\partial f|} \sum_k (z_k g_k \partial_{z_k} - \bar{z}_k g_k \partial_{\bar{z}_k})$$

then it holds

$$T(r_k) = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n+1$$

Moreover the system (10) reads as

$$\dot{z}_k = -i f_k = -i z_k g_k \tag{11}$$

and since  $g_k(t) = g_k(0)$ , then the curve

$$z(t) = z_k(0) e^{-i g_k(0) t}$$

is an explicit solution of (11) with initial condition  $z_k(0)$ .

In particular, we have that the following curves

$$z(t) = z_k(0) e^{-i \frac{g_k(0)}{|\partial f(0)|} t}$$

are integral curves of the characteristic direction  $T$ .

We explicitly note that the trajectories of the characteristic direction belong to a  $(n+1)$ -dimensional torus  $\mathbb{T}^{n+1}$  (eventually degenerate) identified by

$$\mathbb{T}^{n+1} = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}^1 = \{z \in \Omega : |z_1| = c_1 \geq 0, \dots, |z_{n+1}| = c_{n+1} \geq 0\} \tag{12}$$

and this is a particular case of the wellknown Liouville-Arnold Theorem [2]. In other words we have a symplectic toric action group on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  with a fixed point at the origin.

Let us now consider the following explicit formula to compute the  $j$ -th Levi curvature of  $M$  in term of a defining function  $f$  (see [9]):

$$L^j = -\frac{1}{\binom{n}{j}} \frac{1}{|\partial f|^{j+2}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{j+1} \leq n+1} \Delta_{(i_1, \dots, i_{j+1})}(f) \quad (13)$$

for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , where

$$\Delta_{(i_1, \dots, i_{j+1})}(f) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_{\bar{i}_1} & \dots & f_{\bar{i}_{j+1}} \\ f_{i_1} & f_{i_1, \bar{i}_1} & \dots & f_{i_1, \bar{i}_{j+1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{i_{j+1}} & f_{i_{j+1}, \bar{i}_1} & \dots & f_{i_{j+1}, \bar{i}_{j+1}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (14)$$

If  $f(z) = g(r)$  depends only on the radii  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_{n+1})$  then by a direct computation we have that  $\Delta_{(i_1, \dots, i_{j+1})}(g)$  depends only on  $(r_{i_1}, \dots, r_{i_{j+1}})$ . Thus all the  $j$ -th Levi curvatures are conserved quantities on every fixed  $(n+1)$ -dimensional torus  $\mathbb{T}^{n+1}$ : in particular they are constant along the trajectories of the characteristic direction  $T$ .

Moreover by the formula (6) also the characteristic curvature  $h(T, T)$  is constant on every fixed  $(n+1)$ -dimensional torus. We explicitly recall that  $h(T, T)$  (and all the conserved quantities as well) is constant along the trajectories of the characteristic direction  $T$  but the value of the constant changes accordingly to the initial condition of the equation (11).

Then our main result Theorem (1.2) states that if the value of the constant  $h(T, T)$  is the same on all the trajectories of the characteristic direction  $T$  then  $M$  is a sphere.

## References

- [1] A.D. ALEXANDROV, *A characteristic property of spheres*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., (4) 58, pag. 303-315, 1962
- [2] V.I. ARNOLD, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*, Springer-Verlag (1989)
- [3] A. BOGGES, *CR Manifolds and the Tangential Cauchy-Riemann Complex*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 1991

- [4] L. HÖRMANDER, *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, North-Holland (1973)
- [5] J.G. HOUNIE, E. LANCONELLI, *An Alexander type Theorem for Reinhardt domains of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Recent progress on some problems in several complex variables and partial differential equations*, Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 400,129–146, 2006.
- [6] J.G. HOUNIE, E. LANCONELLI, *A sphere theorem for a class of Reinhardt domains with constant Levi curvature*, Forum Mathematicum 2008 20:4 , 571-586
- [7] M. JARNICKI, P. PFLUG, *First steps in several complex variables: Reinhardt domains*, European Mathematical Society, 2008
- [8] W.KLINGENBERG, *Real hypersurfaces in Kähler manifolds*, Asian J. Math. 5, no. 1, pag. 1-17, 2001
- [9] E. LANCONELLI, A. MONTANARI, *Pseudoconvex Fully Nonlinear Partial Differential Operators. Strong Comparison Theorems*, J. Differential Equations 202 (2004), no. 2, 306-331
- [10] V. MARTINO, A. MONTANARI, *Integral formulas for a class of curvature PDE's and applications to isoperimetric inequalities and to symmetry problems*, Forum Mathematicum, vol. 22/2 (2010); p. 255 - 267
- [11] V. MARTINO, A. MONTANARI, *On the characteristic direction of real hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  and a symmetry result*, Advances in Geometry, Vol. 10/3, 2010, 371 - 377
- [12] V. MARTINO, *La forma di Levi per ipersuperfici in  $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$  e l'equazione di pseudocurvatura media per grafici reali*, PhD Thesis
- [13] R. MONTI AND D. MORBIDELLI, *Levi umbilical surfaces in complex space*, J. Reine Angew. Math. Math. 603 (2007) 113-131
- [14] R. C. REILLY, *Applications of the Hessian operator in a Riemann manifold* Indiana Univ. Math. J., **26** (1977) 459-472.
- [15] R. C. REILLY, *Mean curvature, the Laplacian, and Soap Bubbles* Amer. Math. Monthly 89 (1982), no. 3, 180–188, 197–198.
- [16] R. C. REILLY, *On the Hessian of a function and the curvatures of its graph*. Michigan Math. J. 20 (1973), 373–383.